

Remarks/Arguments:

Claims 1 and 18-21 are pending in the above-identified application. Claims 2-17 have been cancelled.

Claims 1 and 20-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 1 and 18-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being obvious in view of Yoshioka and Shiuan et al.

Applicants acknowledge, with thanks, the courtesy of the Examiner for granting a telephone interview. During the interview, the Examiner indicated that the amendments to claims 1, 20 and 21 presented herein appeared to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 and 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a).

Applicants' invention, as recited by claim 1, includes features which are neither disclosed nor suggested by the art of record, namely:

... each of a plurality of display apparatuses at a lower resolution when the predetermined number of display apparatuses is more than one display apparatus, the lower resolution being lower than the original resolution ...

... at least one further layer of the plurality of layers is provided to a display apparatus other than the first display apparatus of the plurality of display apparatuses.

Basis for the amendment may be found, for example, in the specification at Figure 3.

Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is allowable over the art of record. Claims 18 and 19 depend from claim 1. Accordingly, claims 18 and 19 are likewise allowable over the art of record.

Applicants' invention, as recited by claim 20, includes features which are neither disclosed nor suggested by the art of record, namely:

... each of a plurality of display apparatuses at a lower resolution when the predetermined number of display apparatuses is more than one display apparatus, the lower resolution being lower than the original resolution...

Appln. No.: 10/530,611
Amendment Dated: July 23, 2008
Reply to Office Action of: April 11, 2008

ARGM-108US

... a further portion of the at least one layer of the plurality of layers is provided to a display apparatus other than the first display apparatus of the plurality of display apparatuses.

Basis for the amendment may be found, for example, in the specification at Figure 4. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 20 is allowable over the art of record.

Applicants' invention, as recited by claim 21, includes features which are neither disclosed nor suggested by the art of record, namely:

... each of a plurality of display apparatuses at a lower resolution when the predetermined number of display apparatuses is more than one display apparatus, the lower resolution being lower than the original resolution ...

... a portion of the at least one further layer of the plurality of layers is provided to a display apparatus other than the first display apparatus of the plurality of display apparatuses.

Basis for the amendment may be found, for example, in the specification at Figure 4. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 21 is allowable over the art of record.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that this Application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Jacques L. Etkowicz, Reg. No. 41,738
Attorney for Applicants

DFD/dfd/fp

Dated: July 23, 2008

P.O. Box 980
Valley Forge, PA 19482
(610) 407-0700

NM275590